 VQEG Meeting Minutes - Stockholm July 2014

# Thursday AM, July 10

## 3DTV Project

### Groundtruth 3D Qoe Testplan

**Agreement was reached** that the results of visual acuity shall be document & reported (see the Ground Truth 3D QoE Ttestplan itself).

### dvb Scene selection discussion

See meeting slides for a list of desirable content features. This document is available as ???

Pre-selection of scenes was made as below:

Segments of interest from Atlanta Beltway. Time codes are within the full sequence timeline.

* Animation overlay ≈0:22 to 0:36 (high interest)
* Arial shot ≈0:17 to 0:23
* Bridge with diagonal lines and stream toward viewer ≈1:20 to 1:24
* Road crew with non-linear camera movement ≈2:16 to 2:30
* Signs at different depths ≈2:48 to 2:52

Vignettes

* Trolley
* Old bike (high interest)
* Sparks (maybe)
* Pottery wheel

EBU

* Diego and the owl (check if the original source quality is good)
* Table close low light
* Couple dancing in front of a waterfall (name unknown)
* Diego and the pillow
* Rome 2 (check if the original source quality is good)
* Talk show 2

Sky

* Yellow flowers ≈0:20 to 0:26
* Animation of flapping device ≈2:00 to 2:14
* Wow-way 0:28 to 1:04

IRCCyN

* Scene selection will wait, since we are familiar with this content

## Hybrid Project

### draft version 1.17

Proponents had different understandings of what sorts of comments were allowed within Annex a, comments by model proponents.

**Agreement was reached** to create a list of editors and contributors offline, and insert as an editorial change.

**Agreement was reached** to add Appendix indication “Proponent opinion only. This page is not an official VQEG statement.” in a vertical bar on left.

**Agreement was reached** to change Annex A to Appendix 1 (leave document order in tact).

**Agreement was reached** for the Hybrid project that the proponent can present any additional analyses and refer to any portion of the official ILG data analysis within the proponent comment Appendix, as long as the statements are factually correct and do not exceed two (2) pages per model category per proponent (e.g., 2 pages for one proponent’s Hybrid-NR models). Proponents can explain potential performance improvements resulting from model changes such as disallowed bug fixes and algorithm changes. Proponents can mention other advantages not included in the official ILG data analysis, such as computational complexity, outlier analysis, or performance on a subset of the data. Proponents are allowed to question decisions made within the official ILG data analysis.

**Agreement was reached** to include the above statement within the Appendix, as an official record of these rules. The “and future projects” statement will be omitted as irrelevant.

**Agreement was reached** to submit revised proponent comments by July 18 COB, unless T-Labs has a problem with this deadline.

**Agreement was reached** to delete the FUB table from Annex C.10.2. This table is irrelevant, as it refers to a test that was not performed.

**Agreement was reached** to approve the VQEG Final Report (version 1.18). The above editorial changes will occur shortly and be published as version 2.0 (begun 2006 and finished in July 2014).

## Other business

### copyright or license

The issue was raised as to whether VQEG documents are copyrighted or issued under a particular license (e.g., a particular creative commons license). The VQEG board will consider this issue.

### ITU Recognition

VQEG could be officially recognized by the ITU-T under A.4 provided that it (1) has a permanent Secretariat and (2) has a legal status. The VQEG board will consider this issue.